

AMENDMENT NO. 1

DATE: June 30, 2022

RE: SOLICITATION NUMBER: RFQ No. 22-03

PROJECT: 2050 Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP) Update

NOTICE is hereby given of the following changes or clarifications that have been issued and questions received regarding the above-referenced Solicitation:

1. QUESTION: Page 19 – Please confirm what, if any DBE goals this contract has – none, 10.65% or 11.31%
 - a. “This means that BMPO can likely achieve the overall aspirational goal of 10.65% (11.31% for FTA) without the use of contract goals.”

ANSWER: There is not a specific DBE contract goal for this project. Being that the FDOT program is 100% race neutral, the BMPO can likely achieve the aspirational goal percentages identified in Section 1-27 without the use of specific contract goals. Nevertheless, BMPO is committed to providing contracting opportunities to DBEs and other small businesses. Please see Section 1-27 for assistance with identifying DBEs for work on this contract.

2. QUESTION: Do the sections on Financial Stability and the Litigation History count towards the 20-page limit?

ANSWER: No, the sections on Financial Stability and the Litigation History do not count towards the 20-page limit.

3. QUESTION: Page 30 – we would like to clarify if the MPO is developing the branding, or if the Consultant will develop the branding?

ANSWER: The Consultant will lead the development of the branding with support from MPO Staff. MPO Staff will provide the Consultant with all MPO branding and design guidelines including colors, fonts, and general guidelines to develop initial proofs for consideration by MPO Staff. Overall, it is envisioned that the development of the branding will be a collaborative effort between the Consultant and the MPO Staff.

4. QUESTION: Page 66 - under Executive Summary, it states to provide a list of all similar projects in the last three (3) years and on Page 67, it mentions to provide comparable projects within the last five (5) years. Can you clarify if consultants need to provide these experiences in these two separate sections and which timeframe should we go by, three or five?

^s
ANSWER: Yes, the consultants need to provide these experiences in the separate sections. The Executive Summary asks for a summary of all similar projects in the last three (3) years, whereas the supplemental information required on the Offeror Qualification Form contained in Chapter 7 requires more detailed information about similar projects and extends the listing to the last five (5) years.

Offers/Proposers must acknowledge receipt of this Amendment by completing and returning Section 5 Acknowledgement Form with your sealed submittal package by the time and date of the closing. **Failure to do so may deem your offer/proposal non-responsive.**