## Complete Streets and Other Localized Initiatives Program Evaluation Criteria

	Accessibility				- 450. Final results can be filtered and/or sorted by sponsor type, Safety Equity			type, agency type, program category, cost, etc Mobility		
		20 percent					17 pe		17 percent	
<b>Complete Streets</b> (e.g., bike lane, sidewalk, transit shelter, etc.)	Community Facilities	<u>Schools</u>	90 total points <u>Connection to</u> <u>Existing Facility</u>	Population Density	<u>Transit Stops</u>	90 total points High Injury Network	Assessment: Equity	l points <u>Transportation</u> <u>Planning Equity</u> <u>Assessment: Zero-</u> <u>Vehicle Households</u>	75 total points <u>Traffic Volume/Lane</u>	En
	(1/2 mile buffer)	(1/2 mile buffer)		(1/2 mile buffer)	(1/4 mile buffer)	(200 ft buffer)	(1/2 mile buffer)	(1/2 mile buffer)	(200 ft buffer)	(1
<b>Safety &amp; Security</b> (e.g., traffic calming,	Projects analyzed and awarded points based on the total number of activity centers (libraries, parks, city halls, & hospitals) within the buffer.	Projects analyzed and awarded points based on the total number of schools (public schools K-12, public/private colleges and universities) within the buffer.	Projects analyzed and awarded points based on its termini connection to an existing, like facility. Staff may conduct field reviews to verify connections.	based on connection to or adjacent to one	and awarded points based on number of transit stops (BCT and SFRTA / Tri-Rail) per 1/4 mile of project length within the buffer.	Projects analyzed and awarded points based on their relationship to the High Injury Network (HIN) which includes vehicular and non-vehicular (pedestrian and bicycle) serious injury or fatal crashes occurring within the buffer during a five- year period.	and awarded points based on the average of total composite scores (equity scores) within the buffer area.	Projects analyzed and awarded points based on the average indicator score for households with no vehicles available, based on the transportation planning equity assessment, within the buffer area.	Projects analyzed and awarded points based on the average traffic volume per lane within the buffer.	av on
<b>Sustainability Initiatives</b> (e.g., Mobility Hub, greenway, etc.)	Indicator Points 3+ activity 15 centers 1 - 2 activity 10 centers	Indicator Points 3+ facilities 15 1 - 2 facilities 10	Indicator Points 2 sides 20 1 side 15	Top 5%25populationdensity5% - 10%20population	Indicator Points >4 stops 15 2 - 4 stops 10	IndicatorPointsEntire project90located along theHINPartially located70along the HIN	Average score 40 of >3 Average score 30	IndicatorPointsAverage score35of >3Average score30of 2 - 3	IndicatorPoints>12,000 ave.75trafficvolume/lane7,500-12,00050ave. trafficvolume/lane	Ind To en 5% en de
<b>Technology</b> <b>Advancements</b> (e.g., ITS, upgraded signalization, etc.)	0 activity 5 centers	0 facilities 5	0 sides 10	density 10% - 15% 15 population density 15% - 20% 10 population density < 20% 5 population density	<2 stops 5	Project intersects 50 with the HIN Project is located 30 within the buffer Project is not 10 located within the buffer	of 1 - 2 Average score 10	Average score 20 of 1 - 2 Average score 10 of 0 - 1	3,500-7,500 30 ave. traffic volume/lane < 3,500 ave. 10 traffic volume/lane	1 ( en de 1 <u>t</u> en de en de
	<u>Data Source</u> Broward County (2011)	Data Source Broward County (2020, 2021)	<u>Data Source</u> Field Review / Google Earth	Data Source Broward County Planning and Development Management Division (2015)	Data Source BCT (2019) and SFRTA (2016)	<u>Data Source</u> Signal Four, University of Florida (2016-2020)	Broward MPO, US Census American Community Survey Five-Year Estimates	Data Source Broward MPO, US Census American Community Survey Five-Year Estimates (2020)	<u>Data Source</u> Florida Department of Transportation	Do Br Plo De M

Total points range in value between 95 – 450. Final results can be filtered and/or sorted by sponsor type, agency type, program category, cost, etc.

cost, e	ost, etc.							
	Eco	onom	ic Vitality		Environmental Stewardship			
		13 p	percent		13 percent			
ts		60 tot	al points		60 total points			
ane	Employment Density		MTP Key Activity C	enters	<u>Resiliency</u>			
	(1/2 mile buffer)		(1/2 mile buffer)		(200 ft buffer)			
and oased affic vithin	Projects analyzed and awarded points based on connection to or adjacent to one or more Traffic Analysis Zones (TAZ) with employment density areas by percentage, identified by Broward County.		Projects analyzed a awarded points bo on the total number three types of MTP activity centers (MP Identified candidat Mobility Hubs, Community Redevelopment Are and Broward Next Activity Centers) with the buffer.	r of key O e eas	Projects analyzed and awarded points based on whether the project improves or addresses an identified resiliency issue on a vulnerable corridor.			
<u>Points</u> 75	Top 5% 3 employment density	<u>oints</u> 0	Indicator 3+ activity centers 1 - 2 activity	<u>Points</u> 30 20	IndicatorPointsWithin a regionally60identified moderateto high vulnerablecorridor (Tier 1-3)			
-	employment density	-	centers	-				
30	10% - 15% 2 employment density	20	0 activity centers	10	Not within a 10 regionally identified moderate to high			
0	employment density	5			vulnerable corridor			
	< 20% 1 employment density	0						
ent of	Data Source Broward County Planning and Development Management Divis (2015)	sion	<u>Data Source</u> Broward MPO, Bro County GIS	ward	Data Source Broward MPO map files from the "Extreme Weather and Climate Change Risk to the Transportation System in Broward County" study			

		Complete Streets and Other Localized Initiatives Program (CSLIP) E	valuatio	n Criteria			
WEIGHT	THEME	CRITERIA	BUFFER	POINTS	Percent of tota points (450)		
H Accessibility		LOCAL ACTIVITY CENTERS	1/2 Mile				
		3+ Local Activity Centers		15			
	1-2 Local Activity Centers		10				
		0 Local Activity Centers		5			
		EDUCATIONAL FACILITIES	1/2 Mile		20 percent		
		3+ Educational Facilities		15			
		1-2 Educational Facilities		10			
		0 Educational Facilities		5			
	ity	CONNECTION TO EXISTING FACILITY		20			
	hid	Project provides connection to existing like facility on both sides (2)		15			
	ssi	Project provides connection to existing like facility on one side (1) Project does not provide connection to existing like facility (0)		10			
	ec	POPULATION DENSITY	1/2 Mile	10			
Η	Ă	Top 5% Population Density	.,	25			
HIGH		Between 5%-10% Population Density		20			
<b>_</b>		Between 10%-15% Population Density		15			
		Between 15%-20% Population Density		10			
		Less than 20% Population Density		5			
		TRANSIT STOPS	1/4 Mile				
		More than 4 Transit Stop Locations per 1/4 mile of project length		15			
		2-4 Transit Stop Locations per 1/4 mile of project length		10			
		Less than 2 Transit Stop Locations per 1/4 mile of project length		5			
		HIGH INJURY NETWORK	200 Ft.				
	~	Entire project segment(s) is located directly on the High Injury Network		90	20 percent		
	e	A portion of the project is located directly on the High Injury Network		70			
	Safety	Project intersects with the High Injury Network		50			
	0)	Project is within 200 feet of the High Injury Network		30			
		Project is not located on or within 200 feet of the High Injury Network		10			
		TRANSPORTATION PLANNING EQUITY ASSESSMENT: EQUITY SCORE	1/2 Mile		17 percent		
		Average composite indicator score of >3		40			
		Average composite indicator score of 2-3		30			
	×	Average composite indicator score of 1-2		20			
	Equity	Average composite indicator score of 0-1	- /	10			
≨	Бq	TRANSPORTATION PLANNING EQUITY ASSESSMENT: ZERO-VEHICLE HOUSEHOLDS	1/2 Mile				
MEDIUM	Mobility	Average indicator score of >3		35			
		Average indicator score of 2-3 Average indicator score of 1-2		<u>30</u> 20			
				10			
		Average indicator score of 0-1 AVERAGE TRAFFIC VOLUME PER LANE	200 Ft.	10			
		More than 12,001 average traffic volume per lane	200 FI.	75			
		7,501 - 12,000 average traffic volume per lane		50			
		3,501 - 7,500 average traffic volume per lane		30			
	ž	0 - 3,500 average traffic volume per lane		10			
		EMPLOYMENT DENSITY	1/2 Mile				
	~	Top 5% Employment Density	,	30	13 percent		
LOW Fronomic Vitality	÷	Between 5%-10% Employment Density		25			
	ita	Between 10%-15% Employment Density		20			
	>	Between 15%-20% Employment Density		15			
	ц.	Less than 20% Employment Density		10			
	JOL	REGIONAL ACTIVITY CENTERS (MTP KEY ACTIVITY CENTERS)	1/2 Mile				
۲ D		3+ Regional Activity Centers		30			
<u> </u>		1-2 Regional Activity Centers		20	1		
		0 Regional Activity Centers		10			
	P o	RESILIENCY 200 Ft.					
Environmental	ahi ƙ	Within a moderate to high vulnerable corridor (Tiers 1-3) and project improves or addresses	5	60			
		a resiliency issue		~~	13 percent		
	arc				i o percent		

\*Bonus points offered for projects included within an MPO adopted plan

\*Applicants will receive a one-time point deduction of 20% off the total amount available on a future application if they default on any LAP administered project through CSLIP.

